Off-site Levies Bylaw Update Review – Water Infrastructure Costs – Industry Consultation Meeting Notes

Date/Time: Monday, March 30, 2026 / 8:30 am – 10:00 am

Location: Andrew Davidson Building, Online Teams Call

Attendees:

Internal	External
Krista Campbell	Brian Hahn
Erin Felker	Grace Lui
Helen Hu	Guy Huntingford
Brian Arthur	Brett Friesen
Maggie Choi	Mohamad Mohamad
Quinn Eastlick*	Keith Haraburda
Liz (Elizabeth) Tan	Joe Case
Laura Urbain	Bob Faktor
	Alex Braun
	Nadia Friesen
	Greg Bodnarchuk
Regrets	

*Note taker

Discussion

- South Water Servicing: can you explain the 20% allocated to service versus 80% to growth?
    - Will you be sharing population calculations as well?
    - Is the intent to include both Phase 1 and Phase 2 in this levy?
    - We would like to further understand how the components make up the 50 MLD and 250 MLD. What is included in both and how do they correspond?
    - What does phase 1 deliver in terms of servicing objectives from the 50 MLD? It seems like this is related to taking pressure off the Glenmore plant. What does the 50 MLD achieve and how is its benefit explained?
    - 50 MLD is being installed to service growth, and not at all to build redundancy?
    - What is the capacity that the Bearspaw treatment plant is able to provide when the feeder main is being used?
    - There is a Water Efficiency plan going to CDC and council. There were some targets that The City was hoping to achieve. How does this relate to MDD?
    - We talked about The City engaging a consultant to review the MDD. It's been said that when they're engaged, the first task will be to determine the MDD. Where does that initiative factor into the OSL?

        - The water loss initiatives that are completed, the capacity that this provides doesn't change the need for the treatment plant, but it does service the population. How do we accurately represent an allocation of benefit to a water treatment plant where the City's goals are for it to service a broader population. In July, we have a greenfield MDD that we believe will be lower and therefor a larger population can be served by the treatment plant. How can we then determine a fair allocation of benefit.
        - Where we are not aligned is the notion that we are deferring things to the next bylaw update to incorporate a methodology change instead of delaying a few months here to incorporate the change.
- Nose Creek Trunk: The $51 million, 25% allocated to greenfield, 75% to regional. How does this intersect with the $50 million project Airdrie is undertaking to bring sanitary to Calgary?
    - This is the first time that we've seen the breakdown between the two municipalities. We are meeting with Airdrie on Thursday to get some clarification on this.
    - Who is constructing this project? Will Airdrie be building the whole thing?
    - Would The City pick up the full $51 million cost, and then charge industry the 25%?
- All slides include the caveat statement, "DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION, SUBJECT TO CHANGE". Helen also emphasized all the numbers being provided are subject to change and not final. Please clarify when in the schedule the information will be finalized and provided to BILD and its members.
    - When will we see the updated wastewater numbers?
    - When will we see the maps with the denominator area demonstrated?
    - When will we see the models?
    - The engagement will need to continue beyond getting the above information before we can move on to the next phase of engagement.
    - What is the schedule to update the MDD and how does it factor into this update?
- Could we get the council report more than a week in advance?

Summary of Action Items

- **Administration**
    - Share benefit allocation calculations for updated and new projects, including population calculations